

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/884,861	KELLER, ALEXANDER	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jason M. Repko	2628	

All Participants:

(1) Jason M. Repko.

(2) David Jacobs.

Date of Interview: 6 June 2006

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 12:30

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
Claims 11 and 13.

Prior art documents discussed:
None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 ULKA CHAUHAN
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Repko asserted that claims 11 and 13 are directed to non-statutory subject matter under 35 U.S.C. 101 because they recite an abstract rather than a practical application of an idea. Specifically, the method as recited in claims 11 and 13 in the amendment filed 5/23/2006 failed to use or make available for use the result of the "pixel value" computations to enable its functionality and usefulness to be realized. Applicant's representative suggested adding the language "generating a display controlling electronic output from the pixel value" to claims 11 and 13, and authorized the Examiner's amendment adding said language to the claims. .